

# Humanitarian Crisis Analysis 2025

## South Sudan

31 March 2025

#### 1. Crisis overviewi

4.4/5 9.3 million 5.5 million \$1.7 billion 10.5% Inform severity index People in need of People targeted in the Required to reach the Of the required funding secured humanitarian aid target (HNRP 2025) response plan (HNRP 2025) (HNRP 2025) (HNRP 2025) **165 MSEK** 1.6 MSEK 166.6 MSEK Initial allocation Additional allocation Flexible funding Total Sida funding 2025

Since 2013, civil war and intercommunal violence have led to widespread insecurity, large-scale displacement, and worsening food security. Climate change has compounded these issues, intensifying frequent floods and droughts. Poor governance and limited state finances, including less revenues from oil export, have hampered the government's efforts to improve conditions for vulnerable groups. The depreciation of the South Sudanese pound caused sharp inflation, tripling prices of gasoline and sorghum, further straining an already struggling population. Concurrent shocks, including the influx of returnees, ongoing conflict, and flooding, continue to drive high levels of acute food insecurity. Despite the revitalised peace agreement, intercommunal violence and new or renewed conflicts between armed forces and armed groups continue to persist. If the underlying causes of these community conflicts remain unresolved, they may lead to more widespread violence, especially following the recent cancellation of elections that were originally scheduled for the end of 2024. Intense fighting broke out, during first quarter of 2025, in Nasir in eastern Upper Nile state, as the so-called White Army, a Nuer militia, attacked units from the South Sudan People's Defence Forces (SSPDF). The fighting has forced tens of thousands of people to flee. According to the UNHCR, around 1,500 people have fled to neighboring Ethiopia. In Nasir, it is estimated that about 25,000 people are internally displaced. Furthermore, in northern Upper Nile state Renk and Maban, armed groups from Sudan have temporarily crossed the border into South Sudan, raising concerns about an increased spillover of the conflict. Other regions have also recorded an increased number of violent clashes between different groups, including in Equatoria and Western Bahr el Ghazal.

## 2. Humanitarian needs & affected population

It is estimated that more than nine million out of 12.4 million people (approximately 70 per cent of the population) in South Sudan need humanitarian assistance. Approximately five million South Sudanese have been displaced since 2013, with two million internally displaced and 2.3 million seeking refuge in neighboring countries. South Sudan saw an additional 800,000 returnees and refugees arrive from Sudan in 2023 and 2024. The latest Humanitarian Needs and Response Plan (HNRP) for South Sudan targets 5.5 million people, leaving many people in dire conditions without assistance.

The high levels of movement, displacement, and secondary displacement in South Sudan significantly increase the exposure of the civilian population to hardships and violence. Protection activities remain key to improve the humanitarian situation, but are underfunded. As in many conflict contexts, gender-based violence remains one of the most critical protection concerns. Although official data is scarce, studies estimate that 65 per cent of women and girls have experienced physical and/or sexual violence in their lifetime.

Many people are also affected by war-like violence, caused by ongoing localised conflicts related to cattle raiding and competition over natural resources. Weak governance has resulted in widespread insecurity, high crime rates, limited access to justice, and inadequate law enforcement, often leading to revenge killings. The prevalence of firearms in the country has fuelled violent confrontations among youth and increased incidents of rape and abduction of women and girls. Additionally, climate change has exacerbated these issues, with recurrent cycles of flooding and drought intensifying violent conflicts over land use, particularly competition for higher grounds and dry lands.

In addition to conflict related protection needs in South Sudan, the food security situation remains severe. At the start of the main harvesting period in October 2024, 12 counties in South Sudan were classified under IPC Phase 4 (Emergency). These counties include Malakal in Upper Nile, Abyei, and areas with high concentrations of returnees in transit. The situation is particularly severe in Malakal, Uror, and Pibor, with some groups now facing catastrophic conditions (IPC Phase 5).

Another concern is the weak health system, highlighted by the November 2024 outbreak of cholera in transit centres near the Sudan border, which may spread to other areas, unless rapid and decisive action is taken by health and WASH actors.

## 3. The humanitarian response

The humanitarian appeal aims to reach 5.5 million people with a budget of USD 1.7 billion for 2025. Over the past few years, humanitarian funding for South Sudan has consistently met around 55-60 per cent of the total Humanitarian Response Plan (HRP) requirements. However,

despite this consistent funding level, many needs remain unmet, and humanitarian standards are not fully adhered to.

The chronic funding gap requires further prioritisation of the humanitarian response, focusing on only the most immediate needs. This means that groups with less severe needs may go completely without assistance, putting them at risk of deteriorating into more severe conditions.

In order to improve prioritisation, the Health, Nutrition, Food Security and Livelihoods (FSL), and Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH) clusters have adopted a common integrated plan, focusing on IPC 4 areas, including pockets of IPC 5.

South Sudan is also one of four countries selected for implementation of the OCHA Flagship Initiative, which is a community-centred approach to humanitarian action, launched in 2023. It aims to redesign humanitarian efforts by focusing on the priorities of crisis-affected communities rather than those of aid providers. The initiative is being piloted in Upper Nile, Unity, and Northern Bahr el Ghazal states. The initial results from the initiative have been promising in South Sudan, but tangible effects on community engagement, planning and coordination are hard to measure. A more decentralised coordination structure could enhance field-level response coordination and better ensure that the appropriate assistance reaches the affected communities, especially in hard-to-reach locations.

Delivering humanitarian aid in South Sudan presents exceptional challenges due to armed checkpoints that halt and tax transportation, along with rainy seasons that complicate road travel. While air shipments via helicopters can mitigate these risks, they come at a very high operational cost. The field capacity of partners, especially in remote areas, is crucial for prepositioning humanitarian supplies to reduce reliance on air assets. Thus, logistics and air transportation become critical sectors. These factors significantly increase the cost of aid operations in South Sudan.

In terms of particularly underfunded sectors, Protection, Education and WASH have been the least funded sectors in the past, and are expected to remain underfunded during 2025, despite Sida and likeminded donors' efforts to highlight protection needs.

Many underlying factors driving humanitarian needs in South Sudan are beyond the scope of humanitarian activities. Nevertheless, most basic services in the country would not function without humanitarian aid. Over a decade of substantial assistance has, in many ways, replaced regular public services, particularly in the health sector. Unfortunately, there are few signs of positive development or improvement in the humanitarian situation. There is also a significant risk of donor fatigue, especially considering that South Sudan remains one of the most dangerous countries in the world for humanitarian staff.

In addition to humanitarian assistance and development cooperation, the United Nations Mission in South Sudan (UNMISS), is currently one of the world's larger peacekeeping operations. UNMISS currently has some 18,000 personnel deployed, with large military bases in former conflict areas.

The rapid shutdown of USAID has significantly reduced active operations in South Sudan, effectively cutting the number of recipients to half of what was originally planned. This has

necessitated drastic prioritization, posing severe risks of widespread malnutrition, food insecurity and potentially even famine.

#### 4. Sida's humanitarian allocation

In 2025, Sida's prioritised humanitarian sectors in South Sudan will continue to be Protection, FSL and WASH. The Protection and WASH sectors, although underfunded, are crucial to maintain due to their direct impact on the safety and health of vulnerable populations. Sida and its selected partners play a vital role in filling these gaps. The Protection sector focuses on safeguarding individuals from violence, exploitation, and abuse, which is particularly important in conflict-affected areas. The WASH sector is critical for preventing waterborne diseases and ensuring access to clean water and sanitation facilities, which are fundamental for public health. Food security remains the sector receiving the most international funding, reflecting the severe food insecurity faced by many South Sudanese. Despite being relatively well funded, the ongoing food crisis necessitates continued prioritisation and support to ensure that life-saving food aid reaches those in need. Ensuring food security also helps mitigate the risks of further deterioration into chronic malnutrition and/or increased tensions and conflicts. Overall, Sida's commitment to these sectors underscores the importance of a comprehensive approach to humanitarian aid, addressing both immediate needs and contributing to longer-term resilience and stability.

INITIAL ALLOCATION (SEK)			
PARTNER	SECTOR	INITIAL ALLOCATION	
AAH	FSL, WASH, Health, Nutrition, Protection	18,000,000¹	
ICRC	Protection, Multisector	25,000,000	
IRC	Food Security, Nutrition, Health, Protection	15,000,000¹	
Islamic Relief	Food Security, WASH, Health, Nutrition, Protection	15,000,000 <sup>2</sup>	
NRC	Education, Shelter, WASH, Protection, Food Security	20,000,000²	
SSHF	Protection, Multisector	30,000,000	
Swedish Red Cross	WASH, Health, Food Security Protection	15,000,000 <sup>3</sup>	
UNHCR	Multisector	27,000,000	
TOTAL		165,000,000	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Already committed in 2024

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Already committed in 2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Of which SEK 10 million already committed in 2023 and SEK 5 million in 2024

FLEXIBLE FUNDING & ADDITIONAL ALLOCATIONS			
PARTNER	FLEXIBLE FUNDING4	ADDITIONAL ALLOCATION	
MSB <sup>5</sup>	1,639,000	-	
TOTAL	1,639,000	-	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> The 2025 initial allocation of humanitarian funding is based on Sida's humanitarian allocation analysis methodology. The analysis reflects the current humanitarian situation across crises and is being updated continuously with the latest available data. The information in the HCA is based on reports, data, and information from partner organisations and other entities, as well as observations from field visits and dialogue with partners.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Flexible funding includes a mix of allocations such as Rapid Response Mechanism and other flexible funding mechanisms.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 5}$  Sida provides flexible funding to MSB, and this allocation is therefore subject to change.